## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office action.

Claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Okamoto.

The limitations of claims 2 and 3 have been incorporated into claim 1. A similar amendment has been made to claim 6. Claims 2 and 3 have been canceled.

Claim 1 recites a drive assisting apparatus "wherein based upon a value of adjusting data of said locus data with respect to a typical steering angle, said display position adjusting amount setting means calculates values of adjusting data of said locus data with respect to all of other steering angles." The noted limitations were originally found in canceled claim 3. In rejecting claim 3, the Office action cites Okamoto at column 4, lines 2-26 and 56-64. The cited passages from Okamoto clearly fail to teach or suggest the above-noted limitations of claim 1. Indeed, col. 4, lines 56-64 merely disclose the arrangement of Okamoto's parking support unit within a vehicle. After reviewing Okamoto, applicant can find no teaching or suggestion of the above-noted limitations of claim 1. Accordingly, applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 is allowable over Okamoto. Claims 2-5 depend from claim 1. The arguments provided above with respect to claim 1 also apply to claim 6, and applicant submits that claim 6 is also allowable over Okamoto.

Further, claim 5 recites, "said data table stores thereinto a plurality of different locus data sets as to a pan angle, or a roll angle as the locus data corresponding to the steering angle." Okamoto teaches a steering angle  $\theta$  (6:46). A steering angle is not a pan angle or a roll angle, which relate to camera positions as would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art. Okamoto is completely silent as to storing into a data table a plurality of different locus data sets

Appln. No. 10/566,104 Amendment dated March 5, 2009

Reply to Office Action dated December 8, 2008

as to a pan angle, or a roll angle as locus data corresponding to a steering angle. For at least this

reason, Okamoto fails to teach all of the limitations of claim 5.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in

condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the

application is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone

interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. NGB-39565.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON, LLP

Bv:

Brad C Spencer - Reg No 57 076

1801 East 9th Street

Suite 1200

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108

(216) 579-1700

Date: March 5, 2009